UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,808	05/04/2006	Andrew Knight	DP311281 8741	
DELPHI TECH M/C 480-410-2	DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. M/C 480-410-202		EXAMINER MOULIS, THOMAS N	
PO BOX 5052 TROY, MI 48007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/578,808		KNIGHT ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Thomas N. M		3747			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICH - Extension - after SID - If NO pe - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fried for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex e, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim coire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEL	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ma	<i>lay 2006</i> .					
2a) <u></u> ⊤	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	11						
C	osed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quay	<i>le</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4a 5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			· .			
Application	n Papers						
10)⊠ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examine ne drawing(s) filed on <u>04 May 2006</u> is/are: a) pplicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted of drawing(s) be formula to the discrepance of the discrep	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Ad a)⊠ 1 . 2 3	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	s have been r s have been r rity document u (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applications s have been receiver (7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice of 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date <u>5/4/06</u> .	4) 5) 6)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/578,808

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6966301. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See the cited art showing fuel rails within the cylinder head. See also Bauerle et al showing the fuel rail within the rocker shaft.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas N. Moulis whose telephone number is 571 272 4852. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

Art Unit: 3747

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas N Moulis/ Thomas N Moulis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

tm